

FSF ALAR BRIEFING NOTE 2.2

Crew Resource Management

Minimum required crew resource management (CRM) training is defined by regulations, and companies should consider customized CRM training for company-specific operations, such as multi-cultural flight crews.

Statistical Data

The Flight Safety Foundation Approach-and-landing Accident Reduction (ALAR) Task Force found that failure in CRM (i.e., flight crew coordination, cross-check and backup) was a causal factor¹ in 63 percent of 76 approach-and-landing accidents and serious incidents worldwide in 1984 through 1997.²

Because CRM is a key factor in flight crew performance and in their interaction with automated systems, *CRM has a role to some degree in most aircraft incidents and accidents.*

Company Safety Culture and Policies

Although the flight crew is the last line of defense — and usually the last link in an error chain — many factors associated with accidents are early links in the accident chain and can be forged far from the flight deck. The early links could be inadequate training, a design flaw in equipment or incorrect maintenance.

Thus, company safety culture should support CRM throughout the organization, as well as among aircraft crewmembers.

International Cultural Factors

As more companies have international operations and multi-cultural flight crews, cultural factors become an important part of customized CRM training.

Understanding differences among cultures and recognizing the importance of national sensitivities should be emphasized in CRM training.

The importance of using standard phraseology as a common working language also should be emphasized.

Leadership

The role of the pilot-in-command (PIC) in complex and demanding situations (e.g., an approach with marginal weather conditions, abnormal conditions or emergency conditions) is an integral part of CRM training.

Teamwork

The captain's attitude in establishing communication with the first officer and flight attendants is essential to maintain open communication, thus ensuring effective:

- Human relations (e.g., effective crew communication);
- Teamwork (e.g., encouraging the first officer to voice any concern about the safety and the progress of the flight); and,
- Crew coordination, cross-check and backup.

Conducting a preflight briefing that includes the flight crew and the cabin crew is one method of establishing the basis for effective teamwork.

Assertiveness

Incidents and accidents have revealed that when an option (such as conducting a go-around) has not been briefed, the flight crew may lack the information to make the go-around decision or to conduct the missed approach correctly.

Fatigue, overconfidence or reluctance to change a plan often result in inadequate assertiveness and decision making.

The pilot not flying/pilot monitoring should question any actions taken by the pilot flying that are not understood or are considered inappropriate.

Inquiry and Advocacy

Flight crews often receive air traffic control (ATC) requests that are either:

- Not understood (e.g., instructions to fly below the minimum safe altitude when the minimum vectoring altitude is not known); or,
- Challenging (e.g., a request to fly higher and/or faster than desired, or to fly a shorter route than desired).

Flight crews should not accept instructions without asking for clarification or being sure that they can comply safely with the instructions.

Procedures

Deviations from standard operating procedures (SOPs) and from other procedures usually are not deliberate; understanding the human factors involved in such deviations is essential for the development of company accident-prevention strategies.

Briefings

Conducting effective and interactive briefings requires adherence to SOPs to ensure crew coordination and preparation for planned or unexpected occurrences.

Time Management

Taking time to make time, task sharing and ensuring task prioritization are essential factors in *staying ahead of the aircraft*.

Interruptions/Distractions

Coping with interruptions/distractions on the flight deck requires the flight crew “to expect the unexpected,” which lessens the effects of any disruption in the flow pattern of ongoing flight deck activities.

Error Management

Error management should be practiced at the company level and at the personal level.

To foster this practice, identifying and understanding the relevant factors that cause errors are necessary for the development of associated:

- Company accident-prevention strategies; and,
- Personal lines of defense.

The most critical aspect in discussing error management is not the error (deviation), but the failure to detect the error by cross-checking.

Risk Management

Risk management is the process of assessing potential safety hazards and finding ways to avoid the hazards or to minimize their effects on safety.

Risk management should be seen as *a balanced management of priorities*.

Decision Making

SOPs sometimes are perceived as limiting the flight crew’s judgment and decisions.

Without denying the captain’s emergency authority, SOPs are safeguards against biased decision making.

Effective flight crew decision making often requires a joint evaluation of options prior to proceeding with an agreed-upon decision and action.

The effect of pressures (such as delays or company policies) that may affect how the flight crew conducts the flight and makes decisions should be recognized by the aviation industry.

Nevertheless, eliminating all pressures is not a realistic objective. Thus, CRM — incorporated with company accident-prevention strategies and personal lines of defense — should be used to cope effectively with such pressures.

For example, using a tactical-decision-making model for time-critical situations is an effective technique.

Several tactical-decision-making models (usually based on memory aids or on sequential models) are available for discussion during CRM training.

All tactical-decision-making models include the following steps:

- Recognizing the prevailing condition;
- Assessing short-term consequences and long-term consequences for the flight;
- Evaluating available options and procedures;
- Deciding on a course of action;
- Taking action in accordance with the defined procedures, as available, and task sharing;
- Evaluating and monitoring results; and,
- Resuming standard flying duties.

Postponing a decision until a safe option is no longer available is a recurring pattern in ALAs.

CRM Factors

The following CRM factors have been identified as contributing to approach-and-landing incidents and accidents, including controlled flight into terrain:

- Risks associated with complacency (e.g., when operating at a familiar airport) or with overconfidence (e.g., resulting from a high level of experience with the aircraft);
- Inadequate proactive flight management (i.e., not “staying ahead of the aircraft”);
- Inadequate preparedness to respond to changing situations or to an emergency (i.e., expecting the unexpected) by precise

planning and by using all the available flight deck technical and human resources;

- Crewmembers' personal factors (e.g., fatigue, spatial disorientation); and/or,
- Absence of specific training of instructors and check airmen to evaluate the CRM performance of trainees and line pilots.

Factors Affecting CRM

The following factors may adversely affect implementation of effective CRM:

- Company culture and policies;
- Belief that actions or decisions are the correct ones at the time, although they deviate from SOPs;
- Effects of fatigue and inadequate countermeasures for restoring vigilance and alertness; and/or,
- Reluctance to accept the influence of human factors and CRM in ALAs.

Summary

CRM alone is not the answer or universal remedy for preventing ALAs. Nevertheless, CRM is a powerful tool to optimize flight crew performance.

Good CRM skills:

- Relieve the effects of pressures, interruptions and distractions;
- Provide benchmarks for timely decision making; and,
- Provide safeguards for effective error management.

The following FSF ALAR Briefing Notes provide information to supplement this discussion:

- [1.1 — Operating Philosophy](#);
- [1.3 — Golden Rules](#);
- [1.4 — Standard Calls](#);
- [1.5 — Normal Checklists](#);
- [1.6 — Approach Briefing](#);
- [2.1 — Human Factors](#);
- [2.3 — Pilot-Controller Communication](#); and,
- [2.4 — Interruptions/Distractions](#).

Notes

1. The Flight Safety Foundation Approach-and-landing Accident Reduction (ALAR) Task Force defines *causal factor* as "an event or item judged to be directly instrumental in the causal chain of events leading to the accident [or incident]." Each accident and incident in the study sample involved several causal factors.
2. Flight Safety Foundation. "Killers in Aviation: FSF Task Force Presents Facts About Approach-and-landing and Controlled-flight-into-terrain

Accidents. *Flight Safety Digest* Volume 17 (November–December 1998) and Volume 18 (January–February 1999): 1–121. The facts presented by the FSF ALAR Task Force were based on analyses of 287 fatal approach-and-landing accidents (ALAs) that occurred in 1980 through 1996 involving turbine aircraft weighing more than 12,500 pounds/5,700 kilograms, detailed studies of 76 ALAs and serious incidents in 1984 through 1997 and audits of about 3,300 flights.

Related Reading From FSF Publications

Loukopoulos, Loukia D.; Dismukes, R. Key; Barshi, Immanuel. "The Perils of Multitasking." *AeroSafety World* Volume 4 (August 2009).

Lacagnina, Mark. "Idle Approach." *AeroSafety World* Volume 4 (August 2009).

Chiles, Patrick. "Spreading CRM Instruction." *AeroSafety World* Volume 4 (June 2009).

Lacagnina, Mark. "Too Long at the Wheel." *AeroSafety World* Volume 4 (March 2009).

Dean, Alan; Pruchnicki, Shawn. "Deadly Omissions." *AeroSafety World* Volume 3 (December 2008).

Lacagnina, Mark. "Glideslope Unusable." *AeroSafety World* Volume 3 (November 2008).

Lacagnina, Mark. "Missed Assessment." *AeroSafety World* Volume 3 (October 2008).

Lacagnina, Mark. "Snowed." *AeroSafety World* Volume 3 (September 2008).

Werfelman, Linda. "Safety on the Straight and Narrow." *AeroSafety World* Volume 3 (August 2008).

Lacagnina, Mark. "Bad Call." *AeroSafety World* Volume 3 (July 2008).

Rosenkrans, Wayne. "Helping Hand." *AeroSafety World* Volume 3 (June 2008).

Evans, Andy; Parker, John. "Beyond Safety Management Systems." *AeroSafety World* Volume 3 (May 2008).

Lacagnina, Mark. "Close Call in Khartoum." *AeroSafety World* Volume 3 (March 2008).

Ciavarelli, Anthony. "Culture Counts." *AeroSafety World* Volume 3 (February 2008).

Lacagnina, Mark. "Overrun at Midway." *AeroSafety World* Volume 3 (February 2008).

Werfelman, Linda. "Blindsided." *AeroSafety World* Volume 3 (February 2008).

Lacagnina, Mark. "High, Hot and Fixated." *AeroSafety World* Volume 3 (January 2008).

Baron, Robert. "Cockpit Discipline." *AeroSafety World* Volume 2 (December 2007).

Lacagnina, Mark. "Into the Black Sea." *AeroSafety World* Volume 2 (October 2007).

Snyder, George H. Jr.; Agur, Peter V. Jr. "High Culture." *AeroSafety World* Volume 2 (June 2007).

- Lacagnina, Mark. "CFIT in Queensland." *AeroSafety World* Volume 2 (June 2007).
- Rosenkrans, Wayne. "Real-Time Defenses." *AeroSafety World* Volume 2 (May 2007).
- Lacagnina, Mark. "Streaking Into Vegas." *AeroSafety World* Volume 2 (April 2007).
- Fahlgren, Gunnar. "Tail Wind Traps." *AeroSafety World* Volume 2 (March 2007).
- Rosenkrans, Wayne. "Knowing the Distance." *AeroSafety World* Volume 2 (February 2007).
- Lacagnina, Mark. "Outside the Window." *AeroSafety World* Volume 2 (February 2007).
- Gurney, Dan. "Last Line of Defense." *AeroSafety World* Volume 2 (January 2007).
- Berman, Benjamin A.; Dismukes, R. Key. "Pressing the Approach." *AviationSafety World* Volume 1 (December 2006).
- Gurney, Dan. "Change of Plan." *AviationSafety World* Volume 1 (December 2006).
- Gurney, Dan. "Wrong Airport." *AviationSafety World* Volume 1 (October 2006).
- Gurney, Dan. "Delayed Pull-Up." *AviationSafety World* Volume 1 (September 2006).
- Gurney, Dan. "Misidentified Fix." *AviationSafety World* Volume 1 (August 2006).
- Gurney, Dan. "Night VMC." *AviationSafety World* Volume 1 (July 2006).
- Flight Safety Foundation (FSF) Editorial Staff. "Boeing 767 Strikes Mountain During Circling Approach." *Accident Prevention* Volume 62 (December 2005).
- FSF Editorial Staff. "Freighter Strikes Trees During Nighttime 'Black-hole' Approach." *Accident Prevention* Volume 62 (February 2005).
- FSF Editorial Staff. "Crew's Failure to Maintain Airspeed Cited in King Air Loss of Control." *Accident Prevention* Volume 61 (October 2004).
- FSF Editorial Staff. "Nonadherence to Approach Procedure Cited in Falcon 20 CFIT in Greenland." *Accident Prevention* Volume 61 (November 2004).
- FSF Editorial Staff. "Airframe Icing, Low Airspeed Cause Stall During Nonprecision Approach." *Accident Prevention* Volume 61 (September 2004).
- FSF Editorial Staff. "Failure to Comply With Nonprecision Approach Procedure Sets Stage for Regional Jet CFIT at Zurich." *Accident Prevention* Volume 61 (June 2004).
- FSF Editorial Staff. "Noncompliance With Instrument Approach Procedures Cited in King Air CFIT in Australia." *Accident Prevention* Volume 60 (November 2003).
- FSF Editorial Staff. "B-737 Crew's Unstabilized Approach Results in Overrun of a Wet Runway." *Accident Prevention* Volume 60 (July 2003).
- FSF Editorial Staff. "Failure to Maintain Situational Awareness Cited in Learjet Approach Accident." *Accident Prevention* Volume 60 (June 2003).
- FSF Editorial Staff. "Sabreliner Strikes Mountain Ridge During Night Visual Approach." *Accident Prevention* Volume 60 (April 2003).
- FSF Editorial Staff. "Inadequate Weather Communication Cited in B-737 Microburst-downdraft Incident." *Airport Operations* Volume 29 (January–February 2003).
- FSF Editorial Staff. "Nonadherence to Standard Procedures Cited in Airbus A320 CFIT in Bahrain." *Accident Prevention* Volume 59 (December 2002).
- FSF Editorial Staff. "Reduced Visibility, Mountainous Terrain Cited in Gulfstream III CFIT at Aspen." *Accident Prevention* Volume 59 (November 2002).
- FSF Editorial Staff. "Erroneous ILS Indications Pose Risk of Controlled Flight Into Terrain." *Flight Safety Digest* Volume 21 (July 2002).
- FSF Editorial Staff. "Commuter Aircraft Strikes Terrain During Unstabilized, Homemade Approach." *Accident Prevention* Volume 59 (June 2002).
- FSF Editorial Staff. "Descent Below Minimum Altitude Results in Tree Strike During Night, Nonprecision Approach." *Accident Prevention* Volume 58 (December 2001).
- FSF Editorial Staff. "Memory Lapses, Miscommunication, Inadequate Coordination Cited as Most Common Causes of Tower Controllers' Errors." *Airport Operations* Volume 27 (September–October 2001).
- FSF Editorial Staff. "Runway Overrun Occurs After Captain Cancels Go-around." *Accident Prevention* Volume 58 (June 2001).
- FSF Editorial Staff. "Destabilized Approach Results in MD-11 Bounced Landing, Structural Failure." *Accident Prevention* Volume 58 (January 2001).
- FSF Editorial Staff. "Learjet Strikes Terrain When Crew Tracks False Glideslope Indication and Continues Descent Below Published Decision Height." *Accident Prevention* Volume 56 (June 1999).
- FSF Editorial Staff. "Flight Crew's Failure to Perform Landing Checklist Results in DC-9 Wheels-up Landing." *Accident Prevention* Volume 54 (May 1997).
- Koenig, Robert L. "FAA Identifies CRM-related Issues and Training Needs in Flight-inspection Missions." *Human Factors & Aviation Medicine* Volume 44 (January–February 1997).
- FSF Editorial Staff. "Learjet MEDEVAC Flight Ends in Controlled-flight-into-terrain (CFIT) Accident." *Accident Prevention* Volume 54 (January 1997).
- FSF Editorial Staff. "Commuter Captain Fails to Follow Emergency Procedures After Suspected Engine Failure, Loses Control of the Aircraft During Instrument Approach." *Accident Prevention* Volume 53 (April 1996).
- FSF Editorial Staff. "Captain's Inadequate Use of Flight Controls During Single-engine Approach and Go-around Results in Loss of Control and Crash of Commuter." *Accident Prevention* Volume 52 (November 1995).
- Sumwalt, Robert L. III.; Watson, Alan W. "ASRS Incident Data Reveal Details of Flight-crew Performance During Aircraft Malfunctions." *Flight Safety Digest* Volume 14 (October 1995).
- FSF Editorial Staff. "Captain's Failure to Establish Stabilized Approach Results in Controlled-flight-into-terrain Commuter Accident." *Accident Prevention* Volume 52 (July 1995).

Duke, Thomas A.; FSF Editorial Staff. "Aircraft Descended Below Minimum Sector Altitude and Crew Failed to Respond to GPWS as Chartered Boeing 707 Flew into Mountain in Azores." *Accident Prevention* Volume 52 (February 1995).

Lawton, Russell. "Steep Turn by Captain During Approach Results in Stall and Crash of DC-8 Freighter." *Accident Prevention* Volume 51 (October 1994).

Lawton, Russell. "Breakdown in Coordination by Commuter Crew During Unstabilized Approach Results in Controlled-flight-into-terrain Accident." *Accident Prevention* Volume 51 (September 1994).

Lawton, Russell. "Captain Stops First Officer's Go-around, DC-9 Becomes Controlled-flight-into-terrain (CFIT) Accident." *Accident Prevention* Volume 51 (February 1994).

FSF Editorial Staff. "Cockpit Coordination, Training Issues Pivotal in Fatal Approach-to-Landing Accident." *Accident Prevention* Volume 51 (January 1994).

FSF Editorial Staff. "Fatal Commuter Crash Blamed on Visual Illusion, Lack of Cockpit Coordination." *Accident Prevention* Volume 50 (November 1993).

Pope, John A. "Faulty Angle-of-attack Sensor Provokes Go/No-go Decision with an Inadequately Coordinated Crew." *Accident Prevention* Volume 50 (August 1993).

FSF Editorial Staff. "Spatial Disorientation Linked to Fatal DC-8 Freighter Crash." *Accident Prevention* Volume 50 (March 1993).

Haynes, Alfred C. "United 232: Coping With the 'One-in-a-Billion' Loss of All Flight Controls." *Accident Prevention* Volume 48 (June 1991).

Orlady, Harry W. "Today's Professional Airline Pilot: All the Old Skills — and More." *Flight Safety Digest* Volume 9 (June 1990).

Helmreich, Robert L.; Chidester, Thomas R.; Foushee, H. Clayton; Gregorich, Steve; Wilhelm, John A. "How Effective Is Cockpit Resource Management Training?" *Flight Safety Digest* Volume 9 (May 1990).

Notice

The Flight Safety Foundation (FSF) Approach-and-Landing Accident Reduction (ALAR) Task Force produced this briefing note to help prevent approach-and-landing accidents, including those involving controlled flight into terrain. The briefing note is based on the task force's data-driven conclusions and recommendations, as well as data from the U.S. Commercial Aviation Safety Team's Joint Safety Analysis Team and the European Joint Aviation Authorities Safety Strategy Initiative.

This briefing note is one of 33 briefing notes that comprise a fundamental part of the FSF *ALAR Tool Kit*, which includes a variety of other safety products that also have been developed to help prevent approach-and-landing accidents.

The briefing notes have been prepared primarily for operators and pilots of turbine-powered airplanes with underwing-mounted engines, but they can be adapted for those who operate airplanes with fuselage-mounted turbine engines, turboprop power plants or piston engines. The briefing notes also address operations with the following: electronic flight instrument systems; integrated

autopilots, flight directors and autothrottle systems; flight management systems; automatic ground spoilers; autobrakes; thrust reversers; manufacturers'/ operators' standard operating procedures; and, two-person flight crews.

This information is not intended to supersede operators' or manufacturers' policies, practices or requirements, and is not intended to supersede government regulations.

Copyright © 2009 Flight Safety Foundation

601 Madison Street, Suite 300, Alexandria, VA 22314-1756 USA
Tel. +1 703.739.6700 Fax +1 703.739.6708 www.flightsafety.org

In the interest of aviation safety, this publication may be reproduced, in whole or in part, in all media, but may not be offered for sale or used commercially without the express written permission of Flight Safety Foundation's director of publications. All uses must credit Flight Safety Foundation.